

**2014 Lexington County
Penny for Progress Commission
Meeting #006 Minutes**

Date/Time: April 3, 2014 / 10 A.M.

Place: Lexington County Council Chambers
212 South Lake Drive, 2nd Floor
Lexington, South Carolina 29072

Reference: Lexington County Penny for Progress Commission Master Plan for the 2014 General Election in Lexington County, South Carolina

Project No.: 13175-0032

Members Attending:

Mike Crapps, Chairman
Sammy Hendrix, Vice Chairman
Lee Bussell

Jimmy Shealy
Larry Stroud
Frank Shumpert

Also attending: Jeff Anderson, County Attorney and Kim Stutts with Lexington County Council; Ryan Slattery and Kyle Clampitt with Alliance Consulting Engineers, Inc.; citizens of the county and representatives of the media.

In accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, a copy of the agenda was sent to radio and TV stations, newspapers, and posted on the bulletin board located in the lobby of the County Administration Building.

Note: The following meeting proceedings can be viewed in its entirety on the Lexington County Video Portal at www.lex-co.sc.gov.

The Meeting was called to order at 10:02 am by Chairman Crapps.

1. Approval of March 6th, 2014 Minutes
 - a. Motion by Mr. Stroud, 2nd by Mr. Hendrix to approve the minutes as received.
 - b. Motion Carried 6-0 as presented.
2. Penny for Progress Submission Received List
 - a. Chairman Crapps stated today would be the presentation of the projects received for the Penny for Progress and that detailed information is now available.
 - b. Mr. Slattery stated the 139 project submittals have been reviewed by Alliance Consulting Engineers, Inc. and these reviews were reflected in the above



binders. Mr. Slattery referenced interested parties to the project website which provides detail for each of the projects under consideration. Mr. Slattery indicated that the complete projects submittal list and details can be found on the bottom right of the cover page on www.pennyforprogresslex-co.org.

- c. The following are a breakdown of the 139 project submittals totaling \$672,933,072.39.
 - i. Central Midlands Council of Governments submitted one (1) project totaling \$3,357,156.00
 - ii. The City of Cayce submitted fourteen (14) projects totaling \$56,447,791.20.
 - iii. The City of Columbia submitted four (4) projects totaling \$32,230,186.58
 - iv. The City of West Columbia submitted ten (10) projects totaling \$7,545,450.00
 - v. Lexington County submitted twenty-six (26) projects totaling \$324,000,961.89
 - vi. Gilbert Rural Water submitted seven (7) projects totaling \$6,220,500. It should be noted that their first priority is a project with Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission that is not included in this total.
 - vii. Irmo Chapin Recreation Commission submitted two (2) projects totaling \$13,792,908
 - viii. Irmo Fire District submitted one (1) project totaling \$6,800,000.
 - ix. Joint Municipal Water and Sewer Commission submitted one (1) joint project with Gilbert Rural Water totaling \$3,656,000.
 - x. Lexington County Recreation and Aging Commission submitted two (2) projects totaling \$16,650,140.
 - xi. Lexington School District 5 submitted four (4) projects totaling \$29,000,000.
 - xii. River Banks Zoo submitted one (1) project totaling \$9,970,042.



2014 Penny For Progress Meeting Minutes
for Lexington County, South Carolina
April 3, 2014 – Page 3 of 6
Meeting #6

- xiii. The Town of Batesburg-Leesville submitted five (5) projects totaling \$6,833,720.
 - xiv. The Town of Chapin submitted eleven (11) projects totaling \$50,412,138.98
 - xv. The Town of Gaston submitted three (3) projects totaling \$9,025,000.
 - xvi. The Town of Gilbert submitted five (5) projects totaling \$5,130,500.
 - xvii. The Town of Irmo submitted three (3) projects totaling \$1,313,394.
 - xviii. The Town of Lexington submitted five (5) projects totaling \$21,815,000.
 - xix. The Town of Pelion Submitted four (4) projects totaling \$6,058,171.
 - xx. The Town of Pine Ridge submitted five (5) projects totaling \$14,207,003.74.
 - xxi. The Town of South Congaree submitted eight (8) projects totaling \$7,524,800.
 - xxii. The Town of Springdale submitted five (5) projects totaling \$35,427,000.
 - xxiii. The Town of Summit submitted three (3) projects totaling \$2,052,500.
 - xxiv. The Town of Swansea submitted nine (9) projects totaling \$3,461,659.
- d. The breakdown of types of these submittals are as follows:
- i. Transportation Related: \$336,200,948.16
 - ii. Water and Wastewater: \$108,755,864.74
 - iii. Recreational: \$ 87,284,142.00
 - iv. Educational: \$ 56,692,000.00
 - v. Municipal: \$ 49,928,834.60
 - vi. Stormwater: \$ 16,544,826.89
 - vii. Emergency Services: \$ 14,169,300.00
 - viii. Historical \$ 3,357,156.00



3. Distribution of the Penny for Progress Submission Binders

- a. Mr. Crapps thanked Mr. Slattery for the breakdown and confirmed that each of the commissioners could take their four (4) binders with them upon completion of the meeting.
- b. Mr. Crapps reiterated the role of the Commission and stated that this is a large amount of work, but stressed the importance of the job ahead for the commission to review each of the project submissions. And each commissioner will need to review and study each binder.

4. Setting of a Special Called Meeting Dates in May 2014.

- a. Mr. Crapps stated that the next scheduled meeting would take place on May 1st. (Note that this was later corrected to April 30th at 10 AM).
- b. May 8, 2014 at 10am
- c. Mr. Slattery stated the intent of the meetings in May is to prepare the referendum ballot question.
- d. After discussion of various dates in May it was determined that a date could not be set at this time, and would be done after the meeting. The final meeting must take place prior to the last week of May in an effort to be on the agenda for the June 2014 Lexington County Council Meeting. (The final dates selected by the commissioners were April 30th, May 8th, and May 12th.)

5. Engineer's Report

- a. Mr. Slattery indicated that Lexington County submitted a correspondence to the State of South Carolina's Budget Control Board (SCBCB) for an estimated amount that a local capital project sales tax (CPST) can generated between 2015-2023 if the ballot was approved by the voters of Lexington County requesting a response by April 2, however has not received a response. Upon issuance of a response, this amount can be issued to the Penny for Progress Commissioners with regards to formulating the ballot question.



- b. Mr. Slattery indicated that over the next month, the Penny for Progress Commission needs to consider the ballot format. Once consideration is for if the Penny for Progress Commission wishes to have contingent projects or not. Also for the selected projects, the Commission needs to consider what percentage of the SCBCB the commission will use, and what percentage of contingent projects are on the ballot.
- c. Mr. Slattery indicated to the commissioners, over the next month each of the commissioners needs to review each project and towards the end of this month conduct their draft scoring and provide it to Alliance Consulting Engineers, Inc. for tallying by April 28th, 2014, along with which entities the commission has questions of to, if requested by the Commission present in May.
- d. Mr. Slattery stated that Alliance Consulting Engineers, Inc. is available to meet and coordinate with the eligible entities to help respond to potential questions from the Commission.
- e. Mr. Crapps indicated that the ballot amount potential structure discussed with Mr. Slattery was 90% of the projected SCBCB would be on main project list and the event of additional revenue being collected, that up to 30% could be the contingent project list to ensure that infrastructure projects are completed, or if other funding sources are collected, more infrastructure projects can be completed.
- f. Mr. Slattery stated that the Commission would need to determine the amount to place on the ballot as well as determining the amount of 2nd tier projects that would be included on the ballot to account for projects that are under budget, not able to be completed upon notice to proceed, and to account for additional funding through grants.
- g. Mr. Hendrix questioned if the all right of ways have been obtained.
- h. Mr. Slattery indicated that the majority of the roadway projects are not obtained, however many ground up projects at least have a letter of intent.



2014 Penny For Progress Meeting Minutes
for Lexington County, South Carolina
April 3, 2014 – Page 6 of 6
Meeting #6

- i. Can a timeframe be placed on a project that is not ready to proceed at the time of approval.

6. New Business

- a. No new business

7. Public Comment

- o Ms. Laura Collins, Pine Ridge
 - Provided hand out of the “Statesmanship Matrix” a list of suggestions to how to spend and manage the Penny funds.
 - Stated that each amenities projects should serve the county as a whole including suggestions from her son, Kelvin.
 - Inquired who will be responsible for managing the projects approved as part of the Penny.

8. Adjourn

- o Motion by Mr. Stroud, 2nd by Mr. Bussell to Adjourn at 10:35am. Motion Carried 6-0.

